$MODULE^* - 6$

ON NON-EXPANSIVE RETRACTS

In order to generalize a theorem of Belluce and kirk [1] on the existence of a common fixed point of a finite family of commuting non-expansive mappings, Ronald E. Bruck Jr.. [3] studied some properties of fixed-point sets of non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces. In this paper, we extend some results of [3] to convex metric spaces. We also prove that the fixed point set of a non-expansive mapping satisfying conditional fixed point property (CFP) is a non-expansive retract and hence metrically convex.

To start with, we recall a few definitions.

Definition 6.1 [3]. Let (X,d) be a metric space and C a closed convex subset of X. A mapping $T:C \rightarrow X$ is said to satisfy conditional fixed point property (CFP) if either T has no fixed point or T has a fixed point in every non-empty bounded closed convex [3].

Definition 6.2 [3] A set C is said to have the **fixed point property** (**f.p.p.**) for non-expansive mappings if every non-expansive mapping of C into C has a fixed point.

Definition 6.3 A subset of a metric space (x,d) is said to be **matrically convex** [4] if for each pair of distinct points x_0 , x_1 of F, there exists a point y in F distinct from x_0 and x_1 such that $d(x_0 x_1) = d(x_0 y) + d(y, x_1)$.

The following theorem shows that the non-expansive retracts are metrically convex.

<u>Theorem 8.1</u> [5]. Let C be a non-empty convex subset of a convex matric space (X,d). Then non-expansive retracts of C are metrically convex.

<u>Proof.</u> Let r be a non-expansive retraction of C onto F and x_0 , x_1 be two distinct points of F. For t in [0,1], consider W($x_0 x_1$,t) = $x_t \in C$ Since $r(x_0) = x_0 \neq x_1$, by the continuity of W and r the mapping t $\rightarrow r(x_t)$ is distinct from x_0 and x_1 .

Let y be one such r (x_t). Then $y \in F$ is distinct from x_0 and x_1 while

$$d(x_{0}, x_{1}) = d(r(x_{0}), r(x_{1}))$$

$$\leq d(r(x_{0}), r(x_{t})) + d(r(x_{t}), r(x_{1}))$$

$$\leq d(x_{0}, x_{t}) + d(x_{t}, x_{1}) \text{ (r being non-expansive)}$$

$$= d(x_{0}, w(x_{0}, x_{1}, t)) + d(w(x_{0}, x_{1}, t), x_{1})$$

$$\leq t d(x_{0}, x_{0}) + (1-t) d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + td(x_{0}, x_{1})$$

$$+ (1-t) d(x_{1}, x_{1}) \text{ (by the convexity of X)}$$

$$= d(x_{0}, x_{1})$$

So, equality holds throughout and in particular, $d(\mathbf{r}(x_0), \mathbf{r}(x_1)) = d(\mathbf{r}(x_0), \mathbf{r}(x_t)) + d(\mathbf{r}(x_t), \mathbf{r}(x_1))$

i.e. $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, y) + d(y, x_1)$. Hence F is metrically convex.

Next we shall show that the fixed point set of a non-expansive mapping satisfying (CFP) is a non-expansive retract of C and hence metrically convex. To achieve this, we firstly prove a few lemmas:

Lemma 6.1. [5]. Suppose F is a non-empty subset of a locally compact set C in a metric space (X,d) and let $N(F)=\{f: f: C \rightarrow C \text{ is non-expansive and } fx=x \text{ for all } f$

 $x \in F$ }. Then N(F) is compact i.e. the set of all non-expansive retractions of C onto F is compact.

<u>Proof.</u> Fix $x_0 \in F$ and for $x \in C$ define

 $C_x = \{ y \in C : d(y, x_0) \le d(x, x_0) \}.$

For each x in C and f in N(F), $f(x) \in C_x$ since $f(C) \subset C$ and

 $d(f_x, x_0) = d(f_x, f_x, f_x) \le d(x, x_0).$

Thus by the definition of cartesian product of indexed family, N(F) is a subset of the cartesian product $P = \prod_{x \in C} C_x$.

Since C is locally compact, each C_x is compact and so by Tychonoff's theorem P is compact.

Now we show that N(F) is closed in P. Let $\langle f_n \rangle$ be a sequence of elements in N(F) with $\langle f_n \rangle \rightarrow f_0$ i.e. $f_n : C \rightarrow C$ is non-expansive and $f_n x = x$ for all $x \in F$ and for each n.

Then. $f_n x = x \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n x = x \Rightarrow f_0 x = x$.

Also,

$$d(f_0x, f_0y) = d(\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n x, \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n y)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(f_n x, f_n y)$$

 $\leq d(x, y)$ (each f_n being non-expansive)

Therefore, N(F) is closed in P and hence compact.

Lemma 6.2. [5], Suppose F is a non-empty subset of a locally compact set C in a metric space (X,d). Then there exists an r in $N(F) = \{f : f: C \rightarrow C \text{ is non-} f : f: C \rightarrow C \}$ expansive and fx=x for all $x \in F$ such that each f in N(F) acts as an isometery on the range of r i.e.

d(f(r(x)), f(r(y))) = d(r(x), r(y)) for all x, $y \in C$.

Proof. Define an order \leq on N (F) by setting $f \leq g$ if

 $d(fx,fy) \leq d(gx,gy)$ for all x,y in C with inequality holding for atleast one pair of x, y.

For each f in N(F), we define $M = \{g \in N(F) : g \leq f\}$. y M is closed in N(F).

Clearly M is closed in N(F) (Let $\langle g_n \rangle$ be a sequence of

elements in M with $\langle g_n \rangle \rightarrow g_0$. So $g_n : C \rightarrow C$ is non-expansive, $g_n x = x$ for all $x \in F$ and $g_n \leq f$. As discussed in Lemma 6.1; we have $g_0: C \to C$ is nonexpansive with $g_0 x = x$ and also $g_n \leq f$ implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n \leq f$ and hence $g_n \in C$). Therefore M is closed in N(F) and hence compact as N(F) is compact.

It follows that $(N(F), \leq)$ contains a minimal point. Indeed by zorn's lemma, it is sufficient to show that whenever $\{g_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is linearly ordered by \leq , then there exists g in N(F) with $g \leq g_{\lambda}$ for all λ . But if the g_{λ} s are linearly ordered by \leq , family $\{M(g_{\lambda}): \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is linearly ordered by inclusion. Since each $M(g_{\lambda})$ is compact and non-empty, there exists $g \in \bigcap M(g_{\lambda})$ i.e. there exists g in N(F) with $g \leq g_{\lambda}$ for each λ .

Hence there exists a minimal element r of N(F) It si easily verified that for $f \circ r \in N(F)$ whenever $f \in N(F)$

(i)
$$d(f \circ r(x), f \circ r(y)) = d(f(r(x)), f(r(y)))$$

$$\leq d(r(x), r(y))$$

and

(ii)
$$(f \circ r)(x) = f(r(x)) = f(x) = x$$
 or $f \circ r \in N(F)$.

Now in particular $d(f \circ r(x), f \circ r(y)) \leq d(r(x), r(y))$

If inequality holds in (6.1) for any pair x yin C then $f \circ r < r$ while for $f \circ r \in N(F)$, contradicting the minimality of r in N(F). Therefore, equality holds in (6.1) for each x , y in C.

i.e.
$$d(f(r(x)), f(r(y))) = d(r(x), r(y))$$
 for all $x, y \in C$.

Using Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, non-expansive retracts of C can be described as:

Lemma 6.3 [5] Suppose C is locally compact and F a non- empty subset of C in a metric space (X,d). Suppose that for each z in C there exists h in N(F) such that $h(z) \in F$. Then F is a non-expansive retract of C.

Proof. Since F is a non-empty subset of a locally compact set C, by Lemma 6.2, there exists an r in N(F) = { $f : f : C \rightarrow C$ is non-expansive and fx=x for all $x \in F$ } such that each element of N(F) acts as an isometery on the range of r. Since $r \in N(F)$ we get r:C \rightarrow C is non-expansive and rx=x for all $x \in F$. So, to show that r is a non-expansive retraction of C onto F, it is sufficient to show that $r(x) \in F$ for each x in C.

(6.1)

Applying the given hypothesis to the point z=r(x), there exists h in N(F) with h (r(x)) \in F. Let y =h(r(x)). By Lemma 6.2,

d(h(r(x)), h(r(y))) = d(r(x), r(y))(6.2)

Since $y \in F$ and h, $r \in N$ (F), we have h (y) = y and r (y) = y and hence y=h (r (y)) while y=h (r (x)) by definition. So it follows from (6.2) that r(x) = r (y). Since r (y) = y, r(x) = y \in F for each $x \in C$. Therefore r : $C \rightarrow F$ is a nonexpansive retraction and hence F is a non-expansive retract of C.

It was show in [2] that if C is a closed convex subset of the real, reflexive, strictly convex Banach space X and T: $C \rightarrow C$ is non-expansive then F(T) is a non-expansive retract of C. The same conclusion was drawn in [3] when C is a non-empty closed convex, locally weakly compact subset of a Banach space and T: $C \rightarrow C$ is a non-expansive mapping satisfying (CFP). In convex metric spaces we have.

Theorem 6.2 [5] Let C be a locally compact, convex set in a convex metric space (X,d) with properties (I) and (I^*) and T:C \rightarrow C a non-expansive mapping satisfying (CFP) then F(T) is a non-expansive retract of C.

<u>Proof.</u> Since the empty set, by definition, is a non-expansive retract of C, we assume that $F(T) \neq \phi$.

Fix z in C and define $k = \{ f(z) : f_{\in}N(F(T)) \}$.

Clearly K is the image of N(F(T)) under the z^{th} co-ordinate projection map of P onto C_z. Since N(F(T)) is compact (Lemma 6.1) and the projection continuous, K must be compact and hence bounded. Clearly K is non-empty. We claim that K is convex.

Let f, g \in N (F(T)) . and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. Since N(F (T)) =

{ f : f : C \rightarrow C is non-expansive and fx=x for all x \in F (T)). We are to show that

- W (f,g, λ) (x) = x for all x \in F (T) and (i)
- W (f, g, λ): C \rightarrow C is non-expansive (ii)
- Let $x \in F(T)$ Consider (i)

$$d(W(f, g, \lambda) (x), x) = d(W(f(x), g(x), \lambda), x)$$

$$\leq \lambda d (f (x), x) + (1 - \lambda) d (g(x), x))$$
(by the convexity of X)
$$= \lambda d (x, x) = (1 - \lambda) d(x, x) (as f, g \in N (F(T)))$$

$$= 0$$
ving W(f, g, \lambda) (x) = x for all x \in F(T). Consider

implying W(f, g, λ) (x) Sha

 $d(W(f,g,\lambda)(x), W(f,g,\lambda)(y))$

$$= d(W(f(x), g(x), \lambda), W(f(y), g(y), \lambda))$$

$$\leq d(w(f(x), g(x), \lambda), W(f(x), g(y), \lambda))$$

$$+ d(W(f(y), g(y), \lambda), w(f(x), g(y), \lambda))$$

$$\leq (1-\lambda) d(g(x), g(y)) + \lambda d(f(y), f(x))$$

(by properties (I^*) and (I)) \leq (1- λ) d (x, y) + λ d (x, y)

(as f, g are non-expansive)

= d(x, y)

implying that W (f , g , λ) is non-expansive. Therefore k is a bounded, non empty, closed and convex subset of C.

Since $T \circ f \in N(F(T))$ whenever $f \in N(F(T))$ as

 $d(T \circ f(x), T \circ f(y)) = d(T(f(x)), T(f(y)))$

$$=d(Tx, Ty)$$
 (as f (x) = x and f (y) = y)

 \leq d (x, y) (as T is non-expansive)

and

 $T \circ f(x) = T(f(x))$

= T (x) (as f (x) = x)

$$= x \text{ for all } x \in F(T),$$

we have $T(K) \cap K$.

Since T satisfies (CFP) and K is a non-empty bounded closed convex set that T leaves invariant, T has a fixed point in K. So there exists h in N (F(T)) with h(z) in F(T).

Since this is so for each z in C, by Lemma 6.3, F(T) is a non expansive retract of C.

<u>Corollary 6.1</u> [5]. Let C be a non-empty locally compact convex subset of a convex metric space (X,d) satisfying properties (I) and (I^*), T: C \rightarrow C be non-expansive mapping satisfying (CFP). Then F (T) is metrically convex.

<u>Proof.</u> By Theorem 6.2, F (T) is a non expansive retract of C and hence by Theorem 6.1, it is metrically convex.

The following lemma will be used in proving our next result.

Lemma 6.4 [5]. Suppose C is a convex subset of a strictly convex metric space (x,d) with properties (I) and (I^*) and T_1 , T_2 ,: C \rightarrow C be non-expansive mappings such that $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \phi$. Then exists a non-expansive mapping T:C \rightarrow C such that $F(T) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$.

<u>Proof.</u> Define $T : C \to C$ as

 $T(x) = W(T_1, T_2, \lambda)(x) = W(T_1(X), T_2(X), \lambda) \text{ for } 0 \le \lambda \le 1.$

We claim that T is the required mapping.

Consider

$$d(Tx, Ty) = d(W(T_1, T_2\lambda)(x), W(T_1, T_2, \lambda)(y))$$

 $d(W(T_1(x), T_2(x), \lambda), W(T_1(y), T_2(y), \lambda))$

$$= d(W(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(x), \lambda), W(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(y), \lambda))$$

$$+ d(W(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(y), \lambda), W(T_{1}(y), T_{2}(y), \lambda))$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda) d(T_{2}(x), T_{2}(y)) + \lambda d(T_{1}(x), T_{1}(y))$$
(by properties (I^{*}) and (I))
$$\leq (1 - \lambda) d(x, y) + \lambda d(x, y)$$

$$= d(x, y)$$
(as T_{1}, T_{2} are non-expansive)

implying that T is a non-expansive mapping on C.

Now we show that $F(T) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. Let $x \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ i.e. $T_1(x) = x$ and $T_2(x) = x$. Then $T(x) = W(T_1(x), T_2(x), \lambda) = W(x, x, \lambda) = x$ as $d(W(x, x, \lambda), x) \le \lambda d(x, x) + (1 - \lambda) d(x, x) = 0$. Therefore $x \in F(T)$ $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \subseteq F(T)$.

Now suppose $x \in F(T)$ and $y_o \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$.

Consider

$$\mathbf{d}(x, y_0) = \mathbf{d}(Tx, y_0)$$

$$= W(T_1(x), T_2(y), \lambda), y_0)$$

$$\leq \lambda d(T_1(x), y_0) + (1 - \lambda) d(T_2(x), y_0)$$

(by the convexity of X)

=
$$\lambda d(T_1(x), T_1(y_0)) + (1 - \lambda) d(T_2(x), T_2(y_0))$$

(as $y_o \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$)



Thus equality holds throughout and so

d (W (
$$T_1(x)$$
, $T_2(x)$, X_1), y_0) = d(x, y_0).

Since $d(T_1(x), y_0) \le d(x, y_0)$ and $d(T_2(x), y_0) \le d(x, y_0)$,

the strict convexity of X implies $T_2(x) = T_1(x)$. Therefore

$$x=Tx$$

$$= W (T_1(x) , T_2(x) , \lambda)$$

$$= W (T_1(x) , T_1(x) , \lambda)$$

$$= T_1(x)$$

Thus $\mathbf{x} = T_1(x) = T_2(x)$ and so $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}_2)$ implying

 $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}_2).$

It was shown in [2] that for a closed convex subset C in a real, reflexive, strictly convex Banach space X, the class of non-expansive retracts of C is closed under arbitrary intersection. The same conclusion was drawn in [3] when C is a non empty closed, convex, locally weakly compact subset of a strictly convex Banach space X. In convex metric spaces we have:

Theorem 6.3 [5]. Suppose (X , d) is a complete strictly convex metric space with properties (I) and (I^*) , C a locally compact, convex set in X and T_1 , T_2 : C \rightarrow C be non-expansive mappings. Then $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ is a non-expansive retract of C and hence metrically convex.

Proof. If $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = \phi$, then clearly $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ is a non-expansive retract of C and thence by Theorem 6.1 is metrically convex.

Now suppose $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq i$ then by Lemma 6.4, there exists a nonexpansive mapping T:C \rightarrow C defined by $T(x) = W(T_1, T_2, \lambda)(x), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ such that $f(T) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. We show that $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = F(T)$ is a non-expansive retract of C.

Let
$$x \in F(T) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$$
. Then $T_1(x) = x$ and $T_2(x) = x$.

Consider

$$d(Tx, x) = d(w(T_1(x), T_2(x), \lambda), x)$$

$$\leq \lambda d(T_1(x), x) + (1 - \lambda) d(T_2(x), x))$$

(by the convexity of X)

$$= \lambda d(x, x) + (1 - \lambda) d(x, x)$$

and so Tx = x i.e. x is a fixed point of T in C.

= 0

Let K be a bounded closed convex set in C such that T leaves K invariant.

Now $T^* = T/K : K \to K$ is non-expansive as $T: C \to C$ is a non-expansive mapping.

Since every convex set is starshaped with respect to each of its elements so is K. Let p be a starcentre of K and $T_p: K \to K$ be a mapping defined by $T_p(x) = p$ for all $x \in K$.

Let $\langle k_n \rangle$ be any sequence of real numbers with $0 \leq k_n \langle 1 \text{ and } k_n \rightarrow 1$. Define $T': K \rightarrow K$ by $T'(x) = W(T', T_p, k_n)(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

Consider

$$d(T'x,T'y) = d(W(T^*,T_p,k_n)(x), W(T^*,T_p,k_n)(x))$$

$$= d(W(T^*(x),p,k_n),W(T^*(y),p,k_n))$$

$$\leq k_n d(T^*(x),T^*(y)) \qquad (by \text{ property (I) })$$

$$\leq k_n d(x,y) \qquad (T^* \text{ being non-expansive})$$
Thus T is a k_n -contraction on K and so by Banach contraction principle. T

Thus *T* is a k_n -contraction on K and so by Banach contraction principle, *T* has a fixed point, say x_1 in K i.e *T* $x_1 = x_1$.

Now,

$$d(x_{1}, T' x_{1}) = d(T' x_{1}, T' x_{1})$$

$$= d(W(T', T_{p}, k_{n})(x_{1}), T'(x_{1}))$$

$$= d(W(T'(x_{1}), p, k_{n}), T'(x_{1}))$$

$$\leq k_{n} d(T^{*}(x_{1}), T^{*}(x_{1})) + (1 - k_{n}) d(p, T^{*}(x_{1}))$$
(by the convexity

X)

 $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

So for $x_1 \in K$, we have $T^*(x_1) = x_1$ i.e. $T^* = T/K$ has a fixed point in K implying that T has a fixed point x_1 in every non-empty closed convex set K that T leaves

of

invariant i.e. T satisfies (CFP). Thus we get a non-expansive mapping T:C \rightarrow C satisfying (CFP). So, by Theorem 6.2, F(T) is a non-expansive retract of C and hence by Theorem 5.1, $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = F(T)$ is metrically convex.

REFERENCES

[1]L.P. Belluce and W.A. Kirk : Fixed-Point Theorems for families of Contraction Mappings, Pacific J. Math, 18 (1966), 213-217.

[2]Ronald E. Bruck Jr. : Non-expansive Retracts in Banach Spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 76(1970), 384-386.

[3]Ronald E. Bruck. Jr. : Properties of Fixed Point sets of Nonexpansive Mappings in Banach Spaces Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 179(1973), 251-262.

[4]T.D. Narang : On Certain Characterizations of Best Approximations in Metric Linear Spaces, Pure and Applied Mathematica Sciences, 4(1976), 1-2.

[5]Meenu Sharma and T.D. Narang: On Non-Expansive Retracts in Convex Metric Spaces-Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education, 10(2003), 127-132.

*Dr. Meenu Sharma Principal A.S. College for Women, Khanna

---- X ----

